arXiv:1305.0469v1 [math-ph] 2 May 2013

# CFTs on Riemann Surfaces of genus $g \geq 1$: Dependence on moduli

Marianne Leitner
School of Mathematics,
Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland
and
Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies,
School of Theoretical Physics,
10 Burlington Road, Dublin 4, Ireland
*leitner@stp.dias.ie*

May 3, 2013

**Abstract**

The dependence of the Virasoro-$N$-point function on the moduli of the Riemann surface is investigated. We propose an algebraic geometric approach that applies to any hyperelliptic Riemann surface. Our discussion includes a demonstration of our methods with the case $g = 1$.

## Contents

**1 Notations and conventions** **2**

**2 Introduction** **2**

**3 The Serre differential equation** **2**
  3.1 Analytical calculation of the Virasoro $N$-point function in the $(2,5)$ minimal model . . . . 2
  3.2 Higher $N$-point functions . . . . 3
  3.3 Analytical calculation of the Virasoro $N$-point function in the $(2,7)$ minimal model . . . . 5
  3.4 The system of differential equations . . . . 6
  3.5 Generalisation to higher order modular ODEs . . . . 6

**4 The Teichmüller variation** **11**
  4.1 Heuristic approach . . . . 11
  4.2 Constructive approach . . . . 13
  4.3 Differential equation for $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$ for $g = 1$ . . . . 15
  4.4 Differential equation for $\langle T(x) \rangle$ for $g = 1$ . . . . 21

**A Outlook: Higher genus differential equations** **27**

**B Proof of the auxiliary Lemma 8** **31**

# 1 Notations and conventions

Let $\mathbb{N}$ (resp. $\mathbb{N}_0$) be the natural numbers excluding (resp. including) zero. We mainly use the notations introduced in [4]. For $\tau \in \mathbb{H}^+$, the complex upper half plane, let $q = e^{2\pi i\,\tau}$ as usual. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any Laurent expansion

$$R(q) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i q^i\,,$$

let $[R(q)]_k := a_k q^k$. Let $\Gamma_1 = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ be the full modular group associated to the lattice $\Lambda_\tau = \mathbb{Z}.\tau + \mathbb{Z}.1$ where $\tau \in \mathbb{H}^+$. We shall use the standard notations for $G_{2k}$, $E_{2k}$ and $\Delta$ (cf. [6]) and the following identity:

$$E_{12} = \frac{1}{691}(441E_4^3 + 250E_6^2)\,. \tag{1}$$

# 2 Introduction

The present paper continues the program of determining the $N$-point functions of holomorphic fields of rational conformal field theories (RCFTs) on arbitrary Riemann surfaces. So far we have depicted a recursive way, using tools from algebraic geometry, to compute the Virasoro $N$-point function on a hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$ [4]. The formulas involve a finite number of parameters, notably the zero- and the one-point function $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{T} \rangle$, which must be tackled by different methods. For the $(2, 5)$ minimal model on the torus, $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{T} \rangle$ are interrelated by two first order ODEs in the Teichmüller parameter. The solutions to the resulting second order ODE for $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$ are the well-known Rogers-Ramanujan partition functions. This classical example generalises work by Kaneko and Zagier [7]. Differential equations in the modular parameter for characters have been explored in the late 1980s as a method to classify RCFTs (see e.g. [5]). We explicitely construct these equations for the $(2, \nu)$ minimal model with $\nu = 5, 7, 9, 11, 13$. We show how to obtain the corresponding equation for the zero-point function on hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces and check the result for the $(2, 5)$ minimal model and $g = 1$. The check works.

# 3 The Serre differential equation

## 3.1 Analytical calculation of the Virasoro $N$-point function in the $(2, 5)$ minimal model

In local complex coordinates $z, w$, the Virasoro OPE has the form

$$T(z) \otimes T(w) \mapsto \frac{c/2}{(z-w)^4}\,1 + \frac{1}{(z-w)^2}\,(T(z) + T(w)) + \Phi(w) + O(z-w)\,. \tag{2}$$

Here $c \in \mathbb{C}$ is the central charge of the theory, and

$$\Phi(w) := N_0(T, T)(w) - \frac{\partial_w^2 T(w)}{2}\,,$$

where

$$N_0(\varphi, \phi)(w) := \lim_{z \to w} [\varphi(z) \otimes \phi(w)]_{\text{reg.}}\,.$$

Here $[T(z)\otimes T(w)]_{\text{reg.}}$ denotes the regular part of the OPE. Note that this is symmetric in the fields since by $\otimes$ we actually mean the symmetrised tensor product. We consider a conformal field theory (CFT) over the torus $X = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_\tau$ with the property that the space of the holomorphic fields of dimension $h = 4$ is one dimensional, i.e.

$$\Phi = \left(\frac{3K}{10} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\partial^2 T, \tag{3}$$

for some $K \in \mathbb{C}$. The model, in which (3) holds true, is referred to as the $(2,5)$ **minimal model**. Of course $c = -\frac{22}{5}$ and $K = 1$ is such a theory, but our calculations will show that anyhow. For any $N \geq 1$, the Virasoro $N$-point function $\langle T(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes T(z_N)\rangle$ (henceforth denoted by $\langle T(z_1)\ldots T(z_N)\rangle$ [4]) is an element of

$$\mathbb{C}(\wp(z_1|\tau), \wp'(z_1|\tau), \ldots, \wp(z_N|\tau), \wp'(z_N|\tau))/J\,,$$

where $\wp$ is the Weierstrass function associated to $\Lambda$,

$$\wp(z|\tau) \;=\; \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{m,n}{}' \left\{\frac{1}{(z-m-n\tau)^2} - \frac{1}{(m+n\tau)^2}\right\}, \tag{4}$$

$\wp' = \partial\wp/\partial z$ is its derivative, and $J$ is the ideal defined by

$$y^2 = 4(x^3 - 30G_4 x - 70G_6)\,,$$

for the holomorphic Eisenstein series $G_4$ and $G_6$ of weight 4 and 6, respectively. For $N = 1$, there actually exists a covering of $X$ by coordinate neighbourhoods for which $\langle T(z)\rangle = \langle \mathbf{T}\rangle$ is constant. Comparison of the singularities in (4) and the OPE (2) yields

$$\langle T(z)T(0)\rangle = \frac{c}{12}\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle\wp''(z|\tau) + 2\langle \mathbf{T}\rangle\wp(z|\tau) + C, \tag{5}$$

where $C$ is constant. Since about $z = 0$,

$$\wp(z|\tau) = z^{-2} + 6G_4 z^2 + O(z^4). \tag{6}$$

we find

$$\langle N_0(T,T)(w)\rangle = C + cG_4\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle = \langle \Phi(w)\rangle$$

But in the $(2,5)$ minimal model, $\langle\Phi\rangle = 0$ by eq. (3), so

$$C = -c\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle G_4\,. \tag{7}$$

The Virasoro two-point function in the $(2,5)$ model is completely determined by the zero and one-point function. This result has been found previously by [2].

### 3.2 Higher $N$-point functions

It is worth mentioning that the method allows the computation of all higher $N$-point functions of the Virasoro field by recursion. For $N = 3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle T(z)T(w)T(u)\rangle\\ &= \frac{c/2}{(z-w)^4}\langle \mathbf{T}\rangle + \frac{1}{(z-w)^2}\left\{\langle T(z)T(u)\rangle + \langle T(w)T(u)\rangle\right\} + \langle \Phi(w)T(u)\rangle + O(z-w).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the general form of the three-point function, considered as a function of $z$, is

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T(z)T(w)T(u)\rangle = & \frac{c/2}{(z-w)^4}\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{(z-w)^2}\Big\{\frac{c}{6}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\wp'' + 4\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle\wp + 2C\Big\} \\ & + \frac{1}{(z-w)}\Big\{\ldots\Big\} \\ & + (z-w)^0\Big\{\frac{c}{24}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\wp^{(4)} + \langle\mathbf{T}\rangle\wp + \langle\Phi(w)T(u)\rangle\Big\} \\ & + O(z-w)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Here and henceforth we denote by $\wp^{(k)}$ for $k \geq 0$ the function $\partial^k\wp(w-u|\tau)$, where $\wp^{(0)} = \wp$. We have omitted the $(z-w)^{-1}$-term which will drop out as the symmetry between $z$ and $w$ is restored. On the other hand, considering the singularities and the symmetries between $z, w$ and $u$ yields the ansatz

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle T(z)T(w)T(u)\rangle \\ &\quad = \tilde{A}\,\{\wp''(z-w|\tau) + \wp''(z-u|\tau) + \wp''(w-u|\tau)\} \\ &\quad + \tilde{B}\,\{\wp(z-w|\tau) + \wp(z-u|\tau) + \wp(w-u|\tau)\} \\ &\quad + \tilde{C}\,\{\wp(z-w|\tau)\wp(z-u|\tau) + \wp(w-z|\tau)\wp(w-u|\tau) + \wp(u-z|\tau)\wp(u-w|\tau)\} \\ &\quad + \tilde{D}\,\wp(z-w|\tau)\wp(z-u|\tau)\wp(w-u|\tau) \\ &\quad + \tilde{E}, \qquad\qquad \tilde{A},\tilde{B},\tilde{C},\tilde{D},\tilde{E}\in\mathbb{C}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

By comparison with (8), we conclude

$$\tilde{A} = \frac{c}{12}\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle, \quad \tilde{B} = 12C, \quad \tilde{C} = 2\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle, \quad \tilde{D} = c\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle.$$

In the $(2,5)$ minimal model, we have by eq. (7),

$$\tilde{B} = -12c\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle G_4\,.$$

Moreover, by eq. (3),

$$\langle\Phi(w)T(u)\rangle = \left(\frac{3K}{10} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\partial_w^2\langle T(w)T(u)\rangle$$

so the coefficient of $(z-w)^0$ reads

$$\frac{c}{24}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\wp^{(4)} + \langle\mathbf{T}\rangle\wp + \langle\Phi(w)T(u)\rangle = \frac{cK}{40}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\wp^{(4)} + \frac{3K}{5}\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle\wp''\,.$$

For the term of order zero, we obtain a cubic equation in $\wp$,

$$\begin{aligned}&(3c-3Kc)\,\wp^3 + \frac{1}{5}(5c+40-18K)\,\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle\wp^2 - 54c(1-K)\,G_4\,\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\,\wp + E = 0, \\ &\quad\text{where}\quad E = \tilde{E} + (-9c-60+36K)\,G_4\,\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle + 84cK\,G_6\,\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The equation is satisfied iff $K = 1$, $c = -\frac{22}{5}$, and

$$\tilde{E} = -\frac{78}{5}\,G_4\,\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle + \frac{1848}{5}\,G_6\,\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle.$$

In particular, $\langle TTT\rangle$ yields 1-point functions of $N_k(T, N_\ell(T,T))$. More complicated fields are treated analogously.

## 3.3 Analytical calculation of the Virasoro $N$-point function in the $(2,7)$ minimal model

Let us now consider a CFT on $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma_\tau$ with the property that

$$\alpha\partial^4 T + \beta N_0(N_0(T,T),T) + \gamma N_0(T,\partial^2 T) + dN_0(\partial T,\partial T) = 0\,, \qquad (10)$$
$$\alpha,\beta,\ldots \in \mathbb{F} \text{ not all zero}\,.$$

(In the $(2,7)$ minimal model, the second singular vector occurs at level 6, cf. [1], p. 243, subsequent to table 8.1.)
From the Virasoro OPE follows

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T(z)T(0)\rangle &= \frac{c}{12}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\wp''(z|\tau) + 2\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle\wp(z|\tau) + C\\ &= \frac{c/2}{z^4}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle + \frac{2}{z^2}\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle + (C + cG_4\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle) + z^2(12G_4\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle + 10cG_6) + O(z^4)\,,\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z^2\langle T(z)T(0)\rangle &= \frac{c}{12}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\wp^{(4)}(z|\tau) + 2\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle\wp''(z|\tau)\\ &= \frac{10c}{z^6}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle + \frac{12}{z^4}\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle + 20cG_6\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle + 24\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle G_4 + O(z^2)\\ \Rightarrow\quad \langle N_0(\partial^2 T,T)(w)\rangle &= 24\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle G_4 + 20cG_6\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle \qquad (11)\end{aligned}$$

Since odd order derivatives of $\wp$ are odd,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z\partial_w\langle T(z)T(w)\rangle &= -\partial_z^2\langle T(z)T(w)\rangle\\ \Rightarrow\quad \langle N_0(\partial T,\partial T)(w)\rangle &= -\langle N_0(\partial^2 T,T)(w)\rangle\end{aligned}$$

For $\langle N_0(N_0(T,T),T)\rangle$ we have by eq. (10) and the fact that $\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle = \text{const.}$,

$$\langle N_0(N_0(T,T),T)\rangle = \frac{\gamma-d}{\beta}\langle N_0(T,\partial^2 T)\rangle\,,\quad \beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{F},\ \text{provided}\,\beta\neq 0\,. \qquad (12)$$

The constant $\frac{\gamma-d}{\beta}$ can be determined independently and is thus assumed to be known. Also, the central charge of the $(2,7)$ minimal model is known to be $c = -\frac{68}{7}$. Comparison of eq. (8) with eq. (9) yields

$$\langle\Phi(w)T(u)\rangle = -2c\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\wp^3 + (c+8)\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle\wp^2 + (24C + 60cG_4\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle)\wp + E$$

where

$$E = \tilde{E} - (9c+60)G_4\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle + 140cG_6\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\,.$$

So $\langle\Phi(w)T(u)\rangle$ is known upon knowledge of $\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle$, $\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle$, $C$, and $\tilde{E}$. To determine $\tilde{E}$, it is sufficient to know $\langle N_0(\Phi,T)\rangle$. By eq. (12),

$$\begin{aligned}\langle N_0(\Phi,T)\rangle &= \langle N_0(N_0(T,T) - \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 T, T)\rangle\\ &= \langle N_0(N_0(T,T),T)\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle N_0(\partial^2 T,T)\rangle\\ &= (\frac{\gamma-d}{\beta} - \frac{1}{2})\langle N_0(\partial^2 T,T)\rangle\,.\end{aligned}$$

But $\langle N_0(\partial^2 T,T)\rangle$ is given by eq. (11). We conclude that in the $(2,7)$ minimal model, the Virasoro three-point function is determined by the zero, and the Virasoro one- and two-point function.

### 3.4 The system of differential equations

The zero-point function $\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle$, or character, of any CFT on $X$ is a modular function w.r.t. some subgroup of $\Gamma_1$ in the Teichmüller parameter. It satisfies the ODE [2]

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint\langle T(z)\rangle\,dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle\,. \tag{13}$$

Here the contour integral is along the real period, and $\oint dz = 1$. We see that $\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle$ is a modular form of weight two. Insertion of the Virasoro field defines a variation of the conformal structure [2]. In the $(2,5)$ minimal model, we find by (5) and (7),

$$2\pi i\frac{d}{d\tau}\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle = \oint\langle T(w)T(z)\rangle\,dz = -4\langle\mathbf{T}\rangle G_2 + \frac{22}{5}G_4\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\,. \tag{14}$$

Here $G_2$ is the quasimodular Eisenstein series of weight 2, which enters the equation by means of the identity

$$\int_0^1 \wp(z-w|\tau)\,dz = -2G_2(\tau).$$

In terms of the Serre derivative

$$\mathfrak{D}_{2\ell} := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{d}{d\tau} - \frac{\ell}{6}E_2\,, \tag{15}$$

the first order ODEs (13) and (14) combine to give the second order ODE [3]

$$\mathfrak{D}_2\circ\mathfrak{D}_0\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle = \frac{11}{3600}\,E_4\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\,.$$

The two solutions are actually the well-known Rogers-Ramanujan partition functions

$$\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle_1 = q^{\frac{11}{60}}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(q)_n} = q^{\frac{11}{60}} + \ldots$$
$$\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle_2 = q^{-\frac{1}{60}}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{q^{n^2}}{(q)_n} = q^{-\frac{1}{60}} + \ldots\,.$$

Here $(q)_n$ is the $q$-Pochhammer symbol

$$(q)_n = (1-q)(1-q^2)\ldots(1-q^{n-1})(1-q^n)\,.$$

### 3.5 Generalisation to higher order modular ODEs

To the $(2,\nu)$ minimal model, where $\nu$ is odd, we associate the number

$$M = \frac{\nu-1}{2} \tag{16}$$

and the sequence

$$\kappa_s = \frac{(\nu-2s)^2}{8\nu} - \frac{1}{24}\,,\qquad s = 1,\ldots,M\,. \tag{17}$$

The $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_M$ parametrise the characters of the $(2, \nu)$ minimal model [1], where the character corresponding to $\kappa_s$ is

$$\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle_s = f_{A,B,s} := q^{\kappa_s} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^r} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{n}^t A \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{B}^t \mathbf{n}}}{(q)_{\mathbf{n}}} \tag{18}$$

Here

$$r = \frac{\nu - 3}{2}\,, \quad A = C(A_1) \otimes C(T_r)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}^{r \times r}, \quad \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{Q}^r\,,$$

$C$ being a Cartan matrix. The diagram of $T_r$ is the diagram of $A_{2r}$ folded by its $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry.

It turns out that $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle_s$ satisfies an $M$th order ODE. Denote by $\mathfrak{D}_k$ the Serre differential operator (15). For $m \geq 1$,

$$\mathfrak{D}^m := \mathfrak{D}_{2(m-1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathfrak{D}_2 \circ \mathfrak{D}_0$$

is an order $m$ differential operator mapping a modular function into a modular form of weight $2m$. We set $\mathfrak{D}^0 = 1$. We make the following ansatz:

$$D^{(\nu,2)} = \mathfrak{D}^M + \sum_{m=0}^{M-2} \sum_{\Omega_{2(M-m)}} \Omega_{2(M-m)} \mathfrak{D}^m\,, \tag{19}$$

were the second summation runs over all modular forms $\Omega_{2(M-m)}$ on $\Gamma_1$, of weight $2(M - m)$. Since there is no modular form of weight 2 on $\Gamma_1$, the $M - 1$ term is absent.

**"Theorem" 1.** *Let* $3 \leq \nu \leq 13$, $\nu$ *odd. The characters of the* $(2, \nu)$ *minimal model satisfy*

$$D^{(\nu,2)} \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle = 0\,, \tag{20}$$

*where* $D^{(\nu,2)}$ *is given by (19) with*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{2(M-m)} &= \alpha_m E_{2(M-m)}\,, \qquad 2 \leq M - m \leq 5\,, \\ \Omega_{12} &= \alpha_0 E_{12} + \alpha_{0,cusp} \Delta\,. \end{aligned}$$

*Here for* $k > 1$, $E_{2k}$ *is the holomorphic Eisenstein series of weight* $2k$,

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{1728}(E_4^3 - E_6^2)$$

*is the discriminant function, and the nonzero numbers* $\alpha_m$ *and* $\alpha_{0,cusp}$ *are given by the table below.*

| $(2,\nu)$ | $(2,3)$ | $(2,5)$ | $(2,7)$ | $(2,9)$ | $(2,11)$ | $(2,13)$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $M$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| $\kappa_M$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{60}$ | $-\frac{1}{42}$ | $-\frac{1}{36}$ | $-\frac{1}{33}$ | $-\frac{5}{156}$ |
| $\alpha_M$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\alpha_{M-2}$ |  | $-\frac{11}{60^2}$ | $-\frac{5\cdot 7}{42^2}$ | $-\frac{2\cdot 3\cdot 13}{36^2}$ | $-\frac{11\cdot 53}{2^2\cdot 33^2}$ | $-\frac{7\cdot 13\cdot 67}{(156)^2}$ |
| $\alpha_{M-3}$ |  |  | $\frac{5\cdot 17}{42^3}$ | $\frac{2^3\cdot 53}{36^3}$ | $\frac{3\cdot 5\cdot 11\cdot 59}{2^3\cdot 33^3}$ | $\frac{2^3\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 193}{(156)^3}$ |
| $\alpha_{M-4}$ |  |  |  | $-\frac{3\cdot 11\cdot 23}{36^4}$ | $-\frac{11\cdot 6151}{2^4\cdot 33^4}$ | $-\frac{5\cdot 11\cdot 13\cdot 89\cdot 127}{(156)^4}$ |
| $\alpha_{M-5}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{2^4\cdot 17\cdot 29}{33^5}$ | $\frac{2^3\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 13\cdot 31\cdot 2437}{(156)^5}$ |
| $\alpha_{M-6}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $-\frac{5^4\cdot 7^2\cdot 23\cdot 31\cdot 67}{(156)^6}$ |
| $\alpha_{M-6,\text{cusp}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{5^2\cdot 7\cdot 11\cdot 23^2\cdot 167}{2^5\cdot 3^2\cdot 13^4\cdot 691}$ |

The nonzero coefficients in the order $M$ differential operator. $\kappa_M$ has been added to the table to explain the standard denominators of the $\alpha_m$ (and mark deviations from them).

**Remark 1.** *The prime* 691 *occurring in the denominator of* $\alpha_{M-6,cusp}$ *disappears when a different basis is chosen: Using the eq. (1), we can write*

$$\alpha_0 E_{12} + \alpha_{0,cusp}\Delta = -\frac{5^2\cdot 7\cdot 23}{2^7\cdot 3^5\cdot 13^6}\left(\frac{53\cdot 1069}{2^5}E_4^3 + \frac{6047}{3}E_6^2\right) .$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle_\kappa = q^\kappa(1+O(q)) .$$

The lowest order contribution $[D^{(\nu,2)}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle]_\kappa$ gives rise to a degree $M$ polynomial in $\kappa$, and

$$[D^{(\nu,2)}]_0 q^\kappa = \prod_{s=1}^{M}(\kappa-\kappa_s)q^\kappa , \tag{21}$$

since $\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle_{\kappa_i} \in \ker D^{(\nu,2)}$, for $i = 1,\ldots M$. For $2 \le k \le 5$, the space of modular forms of weight $2k$ is spanned by the Eisenstein series $E_{2k}$, while for $k = 6$, the space is two dimensional and spanned by $E_{12}$ and $\Delta$. However, only the Eisenstein series have a constant term, so that actually all coefficients $\alpha_m^{(2,\nu)}$ are determined by eq. (21). Note that vanishing of the coefficient $\alpha_{M-1}$ of $\mathfrak{D}^{M-1}$ is equivalent to the equality

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{M}\frac{\ell-1}{6} = \sum_{s=1}^{M}\kappa_s , \tag{22}$$

because the l.h.s. of eq. (22) is the coefficient of $\kappa^{M-1}$ iff $q^{-\kappa}[\mathfrak{D}^{M-1}]_0 q^\kappa$ is absent. In order to determine $\alpha_{0,\text{cusp}}$, we consider the next highest order $[D^{(\nu,2)}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle]_{\kappa+1}$ for some

character. (Since modular transformations permute the characters only and have no effect on $D^{(\nu,2)}$, it doesn't matter for which character we do the computation. A convenient choice is of course the vacuum character of the model which is of the form $\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle_1 = q^{\kappa_1}(1 + O(q^2))$).
Our numerical computations provide strong evidence for the characters to solve the differential equations to all orders in $q$. □

It is actually known how many terms have to be checked for a numerical proof. We multiply the Ramanujan partition function $\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle_2$ corresponding to $\kappa_2 = -\frac{1}{60}$ by $\eta^{2/5}$. The result,

$$\phi_1 = \eta^{2/5} q^{-\frac{1}{60}} \prod_{n\geq 0} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5n+1})(1-q^{5n+4})}$$

has no pole at $q = 0$ ($\tau = i\infty$), since $\eta \sim \Delta^{1/24}$, where

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{1728}(E_4^3 - E_6^2) = q + O(q^2)\,,$$

so $\eta^{2/5} \sim q^{\frac{2}{5}\frac{1}{24}} = q^{\frac{1}{60}}$. Let $\phi_2$ be the function obtained by multiplying the Ramanujan partition function $\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle_1$ corresponding to $\kappa_1 = \frac{11}{60}$, by $\eta^{2/5}$,

$$\phi_2 = \eta^{2/5} q^{\frac{11}{60}} \prod_{n\geq 0} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5n+2})(1-q^{5n+3})}$$

$\phi_2$ has no branch point because $\eta^{\frac{2}{5}} q^{\frac{11}{60}} \sim q^{\frac{1}{5}}$ and the translations in $\Gamma(5)$ are $\tau \mapsto \tau + 5$ (which leaves $q^{\frac{1}{5}}$ invariant). $\phi_1, \phi_2$ have weight $\frac{1}{5}$ (with a suitable multiplier system) on $\Gamma(5)$. The ring of holomorphic modular forms of weight $\frac{1}{5}\mathbb{Z}$ on $\Gamma(5)$ (with a suitable multiplier system) is the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[\phi_1, \phi_2]$.
Now $\mathfrak{D}^2\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle$ has weight 4, so $\mathfrak{D}^2(\eta^{2/5}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle)$ has weight $\frac{21}{5}$, and

$$\mathfrak{D}^2(\eta^{2/5}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle) = c_0\phi_1^{21} + c_1\phi_1^{20}\phi_2 + \ldots + c_{20}\phi_1\phi_2^{20} + c_{21}\phi_2^{21}\,.$$

On the other hand, $\mathfrak{D}$ satisfies the Leibniz rule and

$$\mathfrak{D}\Delta = 0$$

It follows that $\mathfrak{D}\eta^{2/5} = 0$, so

$$0 = \mathfrak{D}^2\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle = c_0\phi_1^{21} + c_1\phi_1^{20}\phi_2 + \ldots + c_{20}\phi_1\phi_2^{20} + c_{21}\phi_2^{21}\,.$$

In fact, not all coefficients must be shown to vanish, but only those of power 0, 5, 10, 15, 20 in $\phi_1$.

A good argument for existence of an ODE for the characters has been given in [5]. Given $n$ differentiable functions $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ there always exists an ODE having these as solutions. Consider the determinant

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} f & f' & \cdots & f^{(n)} \\ f_1 & f_1' & \cdots & f_1^{(n)} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ f_n & f_n' & \cdots & f_n^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Whenever $f$ equals one of the $f_i$, the determinant is zero, so we obtain an ODE in $f$ whose coefficients are Wronskian minors containing $f_1, \dots, f_n$ and their derivatives only. These are modular when the $f_1, \dots, f_n$ and their derivatives are (instead of the ordinary derivative $f_i^{(k)}$ we may take $\mathfrak{D}^k f_i$), or when they transform into linear combinations of one another under modular transformations (as the characters do).

We are not aware of any paper discussing whether or why we the leading coefficient has no zeros (so that leading coefficient can be normalised to one). The leading (highest order) term of the ODE is

$$\pm W_n f^{(n)}$$

where for $k = 0, \dots, n$,

$$W_k = \det \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & \dots & f_1^{(k-1)} & f_1^{(k+1)} & \dots & f_1^{(n)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ f_n & \dots & f_n^{(k-1)} & f_n^{(k+1)} & \dots & f_n^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} .$$

We also write $W = W_n$.

Any character $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$ of the $(\mu, \nu)$ minimal model is a modular function (weight zero) w.r.t. some subgroup of $\Gamma_1$. The modular behaviour is easy to verify since $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle = \frac{\theta(\tau)}{\eta} \sim q^\kappa$, where $\kappa$ is quadratic in $\tau$. There are

$$M = \frac{(\nu - 1)(\mu - 1)}{2}$$

characters. These should satisfy an order $n = M$ differential equation. $\mathfrak{D}^k \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$ has weight $2k$. Thus the corresponding Wronskian has weight

$$\begin{aligned} \text{weight } W_M &= 2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-1} \ell = M(M-1) \\ &= \frac{\mu^2 \nu^2}{4} - \frac{\mu^2 \nu + \mu \nu^2}{2} + \frac{\mu \nu}{2} + \frac{\mu^2 + \nu^2}{4} - \frac{1}{4} . \end{aligned}$$

**Example 1.** *For the* $(2, \nu)$ *minimal model,* $n = \frac{\nu-1}{2} = M$, $f_s = \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle_s$ *is the character corresponding to* $\kappa_s = h_{1,s} - \frac{c}{24}$,

$$\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle_s \propto q^{\kappa_s} (1 + O(q)) \tag{23}$$

*and* $f_s^{(k)} = \mathfrak{D}^k f_s$ *is of the same shape. Thus*

$$W_M \sim \prod_s q^{\kappa_s} , \quad \tau \text{ close to } i\infty$$

*(nonzero since the* $\kappa_i$ *are all different).*

**Claim 1.** *Let* $ord_\infty(W_M)$ *be the order of vanishing of* $W_M$ *at* $\infty$. *In the* $(\mu, \nu)$ *minimal model, we have*

$$ord_\infty(W_M) = \frac{M(M-1)}{12} . \tag{24}$$

*Proof.* For the $(\mu, \nu)$ minimal model we have by definition

$$\mathrm{ord}_\infty(W_M) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \le r \le \mu-1; 1 \le s \le \nu-1} \kappa_{r,s} ,$$

where $\kappa_{r,s} = \frac{(\nu r - \mu s)^2}{4\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{24}$ (the factor of $1/2$ is inserted to account for the double counting). But we verified at an earlier stage that

$$\sum_{1 \le r \le \mu-1; 1 \le s \le \nu-1} \kappa_{r,s} = \frac{M(M-1)}{6} . \tag{25}$$

□

**Remark 2.** *For $\mu = 2$, eq. (25) is equivalent to vanishing of the coefficient of $E_2 \mathfrak{D}^{M-1}$ in the order-M differential operator $D^{(2,\nu)}$.*

We already said that $W$ has no zeros since the $\kappa_i$ are all different (so that there cannot be cancellations).

**Claim 2.** *Let $ord_P(W_M)$ is the order of vanishing of $W_M$ at a point $P \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \mathbb{H}^+$.*[1] *Let $n_P$ be the order of the stabiliser in $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ of any point in $\mathbb{H}^+$ representing P. In the $(\mu, \nu)$ minimal model, we have*

$$\sum_{P \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \mathbb{H}^+} \frac{1}{n_P} ord_P(W_M) = 0.$$

*Proof.* By ([6], formula (6) on p. 9),

$$\mathrm{ord}_\infty(W_M) + \sum_{P \in \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^+} \frac{1}{n_P} \mathrm{ord}_P(W_M) = \frac{M(M-1)}{12} \tag{26}$$

(it remains to make precise what $\Gamma$ is). So the claim follows from eq. (24). □

# 4 The Teichmüller variation

## 4.1 Heuristic approach

Let $X$ be a Riemann surface. Let $V \subset X$ be a coordinate patch with coordinate $z$. We consider an open set $U$ together with a tubular neighbourhood $R_d$ of $\partial U = C$ (of width $2d > 0$) such that $U \cup \overline{R}_d \subset V$. Let $F$ be a smooth function of $z$ defined on $\overline{R}_d$ that equals zero resp. one on the outer ($C_{\mathrm{out}}$) resp. inner boundary curve ($C_{\mathrm{in}}$) of $R_d$. We extend $F$ by zero outside $\overline{R}_d$. Let $A$ be the vector field

$$A = \alpha(z) \frac{d}{dz} ,$$

where $\alpha$ is holomorphic on $R_d$ and zero outside. We think of $\alpha$ as an infinitesimal coordinate transformation

$$z \quad \mapsto \quad \hat{z}(z) = z + \varepsilon\alpha(z) , \quad |\varepsilon| \ll 1 . \tag{27}$$

---

[1] While $W_M$ for any nonzero weight is not well-defined on the quotient space $\Gamma_1 \setminus \mathbb{H}^+$, the order of vanishing at a point $P \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \mathbb{H}^+$ depends only on the orbit $\Gamma_1 P$.

The interesting case is when $\alpha$ has a meromorphic continuation into the domain $U$ which is not holomorphic. Let $T(z)$ be the Virasoro field on $X$. $\mathfrak{T} = \langle T(z)\rangle\, dz^2$ is a holomorphic quadratic differential on $V$ so the contraction $A\mathfrak{T}$ is a holomorphic one-form, which we can integrate over. By analyticity of $\alpha$ (so that $d(A\mathfrak{T}) = 0$), using Stokes' Theorem, we have

$$\iint (dF) \wedge (A\mathfrak{T}) = \iint_{\overline{R}_d} d(F \wedge (A\mathfrak{T})) = \oint_{C_{\text{out}}} F \wedge (A\mathfrak{T}) + \oint_{C_{\text{in}}} F \wedge (A\mathfrak{T}) = -\oint_{C_{\text{in}}} A\mathfrak{T}$$

Here $dF = \partial_z F dz + (\partial_{\overline{z}} F) d\overline{z}$ so

$$(dF) \wedge (A\mathfrak{T}) = \alpha(z)(\partial_{\overline{z}} F)\langle T(z)\rangle d\overline{z} dz$$

We have shown

$$\oint_{C_{\text{in}}} \alpha(z)\langle T(z)\rangle\, dz = \iint \mu(\overline{z}, z)\langle T(z)\rangle d\overline{z} dz\,.$$

where $\mu = -\alpha\partial_{\overline{z}} F$ is known as a Beltrami differential.

**Lemma 3.** *Let* $\Phi(w)$ *be a holomorphic field on* $V$*. We have*

$$\partial_w \langle \Phi(w)\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \langle T(z)\Phi(w)\rangle\, dz\,, \tag{28}$$

*where* $\gamma$ *is a closed path contained in* $V$*.*

*Proof.* All singularities of $\langle T(z)\Phi(w)\rangle$ are given by the OPE. The claim follows from the residue theorem, since the Laurent coefficient of the first order pole at $z = w$ is $N_{-1}(T, \Phi) = \partial\Phi$, which is holomorphic. □

There is an issue with this formula regarding the uniqueness of $T$ when $\alpha$ is not constant. Under a coordinate change (27) on a region where $\hat{z} \in \mathcal{D}(S)$, $T$ transforms according to

$$\hat{T}(\hat{z}) \left[\frac{d\hat{z}}{dz}\right]^2 = T - \frac{c}{12} S(\hat{z}).1\,,$$

where $S$ is the Schwarzian derivative. So

$$\oint \langle T(z)\Phi(w)\rangle\, \alpha(z)\, dz = \oint \langle \hat{T}(\hat{z})\Phi(w)\rangle\, \hat{\alpha}(\hat{z})\, d\hat{z}\, + \frac{c}{12}\langle \Phi(w)\rangle \oint S(\hat{z})(z)\, \alpha(z)\, dz\,,$$

where

$$\hat{\alpha}(\hat{z}(z)) = \alpha(z)\, \frac{d\hat{z}}{dz},$$

or equivalently, $\hat{A}(\hat{z}) = \hat{\alpha}(\hat{z})\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{z}} = A(z)$. An expression that is invariant under coordinate change is given by

$$\oint_\gamma \langle T(z)\Phi(w)\rangle\, \alpha(z)\, dz - \frac{\langle \Phi(w)\rangle}{\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle} \oint_\gamma \langle T(z)\rangle\, \alpha(z)\, dz\,.$$

Note that when $\langle T(z)\rangle$ is holomorphic and $\alpha$ is constant, we recover the r.h.s. of eq. (28).

For a general genus $g$ Riemann surface with metric tensor $G_{z\bar{z}}$, we refer to [2]: The Teichmüller varation of the correlation function $\langle \Phi \ldots \rangle$ of a finite number of holomorphic fields is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \langle \Phi \ldots \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^{2g-2} \tau_j \iint \sqrt{G}\, G^{z\bar{z}} \mu^j(\bar{z}, z) \langle T(z) \Phi \ldots \rangle \, dz d\bar{z} \,, \tag{29}$$

where $\tau_j$ are the Teichmüller parameters, and $G = |\det G_{z\bar{z}}|$. ([2], eq. (11), with the convention that any $N$-point function for $N > 0$ is to be read with a factor of $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle^{-1}$, and where $d^2z = dxdy = \frac{i}{2} dz d\bar{z}$).

Note that $\sqrt{G}\, G^{z\bar{z}}$ is invariant under conformal transformations $G_{z\bar{z}} \mapsto \lambda G_{z\bar{z}}$, since $\sqrt{G} \mapsto \lambda^{D/2} \sqrt{G}$, where $D = 2$. $G^{z\bar{z}}$ is inverse to $G_{z\bar{z}}$ and so scales by $\lambda^{-1}$.

We shall consider hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces with a metric of constant curvature which has $\delta$ singularities in the ramification points (in the sense of a polytope, Gauss-Bonnet Theorem). This ensures that the curvature does not lie on the integration path, for whichever path we take.

## 4.2 Constructive approach

Let $X_1$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g = 1$. $X_1$ is biholomorphic to the torus $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ (with the induced complex structure), for the lattice $\Lambda$ spanned over $\mathbb{Z}$ by 1 and some $\tau \in \mathbb{H}^+$.

We describe a procedure to change the conformal structure on $X$ by cutting out a disc $U \subset V$, shifting it according to

$$z \mapsto z + a\varepsilon \,, \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}, \ |\varepsilon| \ll 1,$$

for some $a > 0$ and pasting it back into $V$. Let $V$ contain the closed disc $\overline{D}_R(z_0)$ of radius $R$ about $z_0$. Take $0 < a < \frac{R}{2}$ and $d = \frac{a}{4}$. With this choice,

$$\left| \frac{a\varepsilon}{4d} \right| \ll 1.$$

(We shall later vary $d$, but keep $a\varepsilon$ fixed.) Let $R' := R - a$. The deformation of stretching and forging on an angular region around $S_{R'}(z_0)$, of thickness $2d$, is described by any smooth monotonically decreasing function

$$F : \quad [R' - d, R' + d] \ \to \ [0, 1]$$

and we obtain the full deformation by extending $F$ continuously to

$$F(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for} \quad r \leq R' - d \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad r \geq R' + d \end{cases}$$

More specifically, let

$$F(r) := \frac{1}{2d} (R' + d - r) \,.$$

For $z - z_0 =: re^{i\phi}$, set $f(z) := F(|z - z_0|)$. We have

$$\partial_z f = \begin{cases} -\frac{e^{-i\phi}}{4d} & \text{for} \quad r \in (R' - d, R' + d) \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad r \notin (R' - d, R' + d) \end{cases} .$$

Note that $R' - d > \frac{3}{8}R > 0$. $f$ gives rise to the Beltrami differential

$$\mu(\bar{z}, z) = \frac{\varepsilon a \partial_{\bar{z}} f}{1 + \varepsilon a \partial_z f} \approx \varepsilon a \partial_{\bar{z}} f = \begin{cases} -\varepsilon\, e^{i\phi} & \text{for} \quad |z - z_0| \in (R' - d, R' + d) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} . \tag{30}$$

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose* $g = 1$, $\sqrt{G}G^{z\bar{z}} = 2$, *and* $\mu$ *is given by (30). Let* $\Phi$ *be a holomorphic field. We have*

$$\lim_{d \searrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \langle \Phi(w) \rangle = \frac{a\varepsilon}{4\pi i} \oint_{S^1_{R'}(z_0)} \langle T(z)\, \Phi(w) \rangle \, dz \, ,$$

*provided* $|w - z_0| < R'$. *In particular,*

$$\lim_{d \searrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle = \frac{a\varepsilon}{4\pi i} \oint_{S^1_{R'}(z_0)} \langle T(z) \rangle \, dz \, .$$

*Proof.* For $g = 1$, eq. (29) in polar coordinates (with $dzd\bar{z} = \frac{r}{2i}\, drd\phi$) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \langle \Phi \ldots \rangle &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \iint \mu(\bar{z}, z) \langle T(z) \Phi(w) \rangle \, dzd\bar{z} \\ &= \frac{a\varepsilon}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{R'-d}^{R'+d} \frac{re^{i\phi}}{2d} \langle T(re^{i\phi} + z_0)\, \Phi(w) \rangle \, drd\phi \, , \end{aligned}$$

since $a = 4d$. Let $\mathcal{I}_d$ be the functional

$$f(r) \mapsto \int_{R'-d}^{R'+d} f(r) dr \, .$$

For any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}_d)$, we have

$$\lim_{d \searrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{I}_d(f)}{2d} = f(R')$$

($\lim_{d \searrow 0} \frac{1}{2d} \mathcal{I}$ is the morphism given by evaluation at $R'$.) Therefore, provided that

$$r \langle T(re^{i\phi} + z_0)\, \Phi(w) \rangle \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}_d) \tag{31}$$

we have

$$\lim_{d \searrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \langle \Phi(w) \rangle = \frac{a\varepsilon}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} R' e^{i\phi} \langle T(R' e^{i\phi} + z_0)\, \Phi(w) \rangle \; d\phi \, .$$

Substitute $u := e^{i\phi}$,

$$\lim_{d \searrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \langle \Phi(w) \rangle = \frac{a\varepsilon}{4\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} R' \langle T(R' u + z_0)\, \Phi(w) \rangle \; du \, .$$

Now set $z := R' u + z_0$,

$$\lim_{d \searrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \langle \Phi(w) \rangle = \frac{a\varepsilon}{4\pi i} \oint_{S^1_{R'}(z_0)} \langle T(z)\, \Phi(w) \rangle \; dz \, .$$

It remains to verify condition (31) or a sufficient weaker condition. □

**Remark 5.** *Our construction reproduces the variation (28) for* $\alpha = \frac{a\varepsilon}{2} = 1$ *and* $\gamma = S^1_{R'}(z_0)$. *Note that* $\gamma$ *may be contractible on* $X_1$ *or not. We may take* $\gamma = \sigma_1$, *the real period. In this case* $z_0$ *is not a point on* $X_1$.

### 4.3 Differential equation for $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$ for $g = 1$

Let $X_1$ be the genus 1 Riemann surface

$$X_1 : \quad y^2 = p(x)\,, \quad \deg p = 3.$$

The Virasoro one-point function on $X_1$ reads ([4], Theorem 1)

$$\langle T(x) \rangle = \frac{c}{32}\frac{[p']^2}{p^2}\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\Theta(x)}{p}\,, \tag{32}$$

where $\Theta(x) = A_0 x + A_1$ with $A_0, \mathbf{A}_1 \propto \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$ constant. We don't know yet $A_1$ but $A_0 = -ca_0\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$ where $a_0$ is the leading coefficient of $p$.

**Lemma 6.** *Let*

$$p = 4(x - X_1)(x - X_2)(x - X_3).$$

*For $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$, we define a deformation of the Riemann surface by*

$$X_j \mapsto X_j + \xi_j\,, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

*where $\xi_i = dX_i$ Assuming*

$$\overline{X_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} X_i = 0, \tag{33}$$

*we have*

$$\left(d - \frac{c}{8}\left(\frac{X_1\xi_1}{(X_1 - X_2)(X_3 - X_1)} + cyclic\right)\right)\langle \boldsymbol{1} \rangle = -\frac{1}{8}A_1\left(\frac{\xi_1}{(X_1 - X_2)(X_3 - X_1)} + cyclic\right) dX_i.$$

**Remark 7.** *The l.h.s. makes good sense since when $\Delta^{(0)} = (X_1 - X_2)^2(X_2 - X_3)^2(X_3 - X_1)^2 = (\det V_3)^2$ is the discriminant ($\det V_3$ is the Vandermonde determinant), we have*

$$E_2(\tau)\, d\tau \sim d\log\Delta = 2d\log\det V_3 = -3\left(\frac{\xi_1 X_1}{(X_1 - X_2)(X_3 - X_1)} + cyclic\right)$$

*Here we used that by the fact that $\overline{X}_1 = 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} d\det V_3 &= (dX_1)(X_2 - X_3)(X_3 - X_1) - (dX_1)(X_1 - X_2)(X_2 - X_3) + cyclic \\ &= (dX_1)(-2X_1(X_2 - X_3) + X_2^2 - X_3^2) + cyclic \\ &= 3(dX_1)(X_2^2 - X_3^2) + cyclic \\ &= -3X_1(dX_1)(X_2 - X_3) + cyclic \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For $j = 1, 2, 3$, let $\gamma_j$ be a closed path enclosing $X_j$ no other zero of $p$. For $j = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{2\times\gamma_1} \langle T(x) \rangle\, dx &= 2\lim_{x \to X_1}(x - X_1)\left\{\langle T(x) \rangle - \frac{c}{32}\frac{\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle}{(x - X_1)^2} - \frac{c}{32}\frac{\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle}{(x - X_2)^2} - \frac{c}{32}\frac{\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle}{(x - X_3)^2}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{c\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle}{X_1 - X_2} + \frac{c\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle}{X_1 - X_3} + \frac{\Theta(X_1)}{(X_1 - X_2)(X_1 - X_3)}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}\frac{c(-2X_1 + X_2 + X_3)\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle - A_0 X_1 - A_1}{(X_1 - X_2)(X_3 - X_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Write $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
d\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle = & \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{2\times\gamma_i}\langle T(x)\rangle\,\xi_i\,dx\right)dX_i \\
= & -2\left(\frac{c}{8}\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle + \frac{A_0}{16}\right)\frac{(\xi_1-\xi_2)X_1X_2 + (\xi_2-\xi_3)X_2X_3 + (\xi_3-\xi_1)X_3X_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_2-X_3)(X_3-X_1)} \\
& + \frac{2c}{16}\frac{\xi_1(X_2^2-X_3^2)+\xi_2(X_3^2-X_1^2)+\xi_3(X_1^2-X_2^2)}{(X_1-X_2)(X_2-X_3)(X_3-X_1)} \\
& + \frac{2}{16}A_1\,\frac{(\xi_1-\xi_2)X_3+(\xi_2-\xi_3)X_1+(\xi_3-\xi_1)X_2}{(X_1-X_2)(X_2-X_3)(X_3-X_1)}
\end{aligned}$$

When (33) is imposed, we have

$$\xi_1(X_2^2 - X_3^2) + \text{cyclic} = -\xi_1 X_1(X_2 - X_3) + \text{cyclic} = -(\xi_1 - \xi_2)X_1X_2 + \text{cyclic}.$$

Since $a_0 = 4$, we have $A_0 = -4c\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle$, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle = & \frac{c}{8}\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle\,\frac{(\xi_1-\xi_2)X_1X_2 + \text{cyclic}}{\det V_3} \\
& + \frac{1}{8}A_1\,\frac{(\xi_1-\xi_2)X_3 + \text{cyclic}}{\det V_3}
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

We check by computation that

$$(X_2^2 + X_3^2)\,d(X_2 - X_3) + \text{cyclic} = -X_1^2 d(X_2 - X_3) + \text{cyclic}.$$

Moreover, by

$$X_1^2(X_2 - X_3) = -X_1(X_2^2 - X_3^2)$$

and the fact that $\overline{X}_1 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(X_1^2(X_2 - X_3)) = & -d(X_1(X_2^2 - X_3^2)) \\
= & -(X_2^2 - X_3^2)dX_1 - X_1 d(X_2^2 - X_3^2) \\
= & X_1(X_2 - X_3)dX_1 - 2X_1(X_2dX_2 - X_3dX_3)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$X_1(X_2dX_2 - X_3dX_3) + \text{cyclic} = -X_1(X_2 - X_3)dX_1 + \text{cyclic},$$

so

$$d(X_1^2(X_2 - X_3)) + \text{cyclic} = 3X_1(X_2 - X_3)dX_1 + \text{cyclic}.$$

Using the binomial formula, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
X_2X_3d(X_2 - X_3) + \text{cyclic} = & X_1^2 d(X_2 - X_3) + \text{cyclic} \\
= & d(X_1^2(X_2 - X_3)) - (X_2 - X_3)d(X_1^2) + \text{cyclic} \\
= & 3X_1(X_2 - X_3)dX_1 - 2X_1(X_2 - X_3)dX_1 + \text{cyclic} \\
= & X_1(X_2 - X_3)dX_1 + \text{cyclic}
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$X_2X_3\frac{d(X_2-X_3)}{\det V_3}+\text{cyclic}=\frac{X_1dX_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}$$

Moreover, by the fact that $\overline{X_1}=0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{X_1d(X_2-X_3)+\text{cyclic}}{\det V_3}&=\frac{d(X_1(X_2-X_3)+\text{cyclic})}{\det V_3}-\frac{(dX_1)(X_2-X_3)+\text{cyclic})}{\det V_3}\\&=-\frac{dX_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}d\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle=&\frac{c}{8}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\left(\frac{X_1\xi_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\right)\\&-\frac{1}{8}A_1\left(\frac{\xi_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 1.** *Let*

$$p=4(x-X_1)(x-X_2)(x-X_3).$$

*For $\xi=(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3)\in\mathbb{C}^3$, we define a deformation of the Riemann surface by*

$$X_j\mapsto X_j+\xi_j\,,\quad j=1,2,3.$$

*Under the assumption*

$$\overline{X_1}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}X_i=0=\sum\xi_i=\overline{\xi_1}\,, \tag{35}$$

*the partition function $\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle$ satisfies the ODE*

$$\left(d-\frac{c\pi i}{24}E_2\,d\tau+const.\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}\right)\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\mathbf{A}_1}{4}\,d\tau\,.$$

*where $\lambda$ is the inverse length of the real period.*

In contrast to the ODE obtained for $\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle$ in the analytic coordinate, the ODE for $\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle$ in the algebraic coordiante comes with a covariant derivative. Indeed we have

$$\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle_x\sim\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle_\tau\,\Delta^{\frac{c}{24}}$$

i.e. $\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle_x$ is not modular.

For the proof of the Theorem we need the following auxiliary

**Lemma 8.** *Let $p^{cyclic}(\xi,X)$ be a symmetric polynomial in $\xi=(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3)$ and $X=(X_1,X_2,X_3)$ which is linear in $\xi$. Then for some index set $I\in(\mathbb{N}_0)^3$ we have*

$$p^{cyclic}(\xi,X)=\sum_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in I}\overline{\xi_1X_1^{n_1}X_2^{n_2}X_3^{n_3}}\,.$$

*(Here the line over the monomial denotes its symmetrisation.) Moreover, for $k\geq 0$ there exist symmetric polynomial $p_k^{cyclic}(X)$ such that*

$$\overline{\xi_1X_1^{n_1}X_2^{n_2}X_3^{n_3}}=\sum_{k\geq 0}p_k^{cyclic}(X)\,\overline{\xi_1X_1^k}\,. \tag{36}$$

*Proof.* (See Appendix B) □

*Proof.* (of the Theorem) Since $\overline{X_1} = 0$ and the leading coefficient is $a_0 = 4$, we can write

$$p = 4(x^3 + ax + b)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a &= -30\lambda^4 G_4 = -\frac{\pi^4}{3}\lambda^4 E_4 \\ b &= -70\lambda^3 G_6 = -\frac{2\pi^6}{27}\lambda^6 E_6\,. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\mathfrak{D}_4 E_4 = -E_6/3$ and $\mathfrak{D}_6 E_6 = -E_4^2/2$ and the following identities (for $\lambda = 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} 2\,a^2 \frac{d}{d\tau}a + 9\,b\,\frac{d}{d\tau}b &= -\frac{2\pi^{12}}{27}(E_4^2 \frac{d}{d\tau}E_4 - \frac{2}{3}E_6\,\frac{d}{d\tau}E_6) \\ &= -\frac{4i\,\pi^{13}}{27}\left\{E_4^2(-\frac{E_6}{3} + \frac{1}{3}E_2E_4) - \frac{2}{3}E_6\,(-\frac{E_4^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}E_2E_6)\right\} \\ &= \frac{4i\,\pi^{13}}{81}E_2\left\{E_6^2 - E_4^3\right\} \\ 9\,b\,\frac{d}{d\tau}a - 6\,a\,\frac{d}{d\tau}b &= 9\,b\,(\frac{d}{d\tau} - \frac{2\pi i}{3}E_2)a - 6\,a\,(\frac{d}{d\tau} - \frac{2\pi i}{2}E_2)b \\ &= 2\pi i\,(9\,b\,\mathfrak{D}_4 a - 6\,a\,\mathfrak{D}_6 b) \\ &= \frac{4i\,\pi^{11}}{27}(E_4^3 - E_6^2) \\ \Delta^{(0)} := -4a^3 - 27b^2 &= \frac{4\pi^{12}}{27}(E_4^3 - E_6^2) \end{aligned}$$

In the following we will establish the connection between these expressions in $a, b$ and formula (34), which we rewrite as

$$d\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle = -\frac{c}{8}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\,\frac{\det\Xi_{3,1}}{\det V_3} - \frac{1}{8}A_1\,\frac{\det\Xi_{3,0}}{\det V_3} \tag{37}$$

for the matrices

$$\Xi_{3,0} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & X_3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Xi_{3,1} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & X_3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \xi_1 X_1 & \xi_2 X_2 & \xi_3 X_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_1 & X_1^2 \\ 1 & X_2 & X_2^2 \\ 1 & X_3 & X_3^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

($V_3$ is the $3 \times 3$ Vandermonde matrix.) It is given by

$$\det\Xi_{3,0}V_3 = 9b\,da - 6a\,db \tag{38}$$

$$\det\Xi_{3,1}V_3 = 2a^2\,da + 9b\,db \tag{39}$$

$$(\det V_3)^2 = \Delta^{(0)} = -4a^3 - 27b^2\,. \tag{40}$$

where $d = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}$. We also have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}|_{\tau=\tau_0}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle = \frac{\text{const.}}{\lambda}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\,.$$

Eq. (40) is standard since $(\det V_3)^2 = \Delta^{(0)}$ is the discriminant of $p/4$. To show eqs (38) and (39), we first compute $a, b$ in terms of $\xi$ and $X$. To simplify expressions, set $d = \frac{d}{d\lambda}$. Using $\overline{X_1} = 0$ and $\xi_i = dX_i$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= \sum_{i<j} X_i X_j = \overline{X_1 X_2}\,, & da &= \sum_{i\neq j} (dX_i) X_j = \sum_{i \neq j} \xi_i X_j \\
&& &= \xi_1 X_2 + \xi_1 X_3 + \xi_2 X_1 + \xi_2 X_3 + \xi_3 X_1 + \xi_3 X_2 = \overline{\xi_1 X_2} \\
b &= -X_1 X_2 X_3\,, & db &= -(dX_1)X_2X_3 - X_1(dX_2)X_3 - X_1X_2(dX_3) \\
&& &= -\xi_1 X_2 X_3 - \xi_2 X_1 X_3 - \xi_3 X_1 X_2 = -\overline{\xi_1 X_2 X_3}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

1. To show (38), let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$. On the one hand,

   $$\begin{aligned}
   \alpha\, a\, db + \beta\, b\, da &= -\alpha \overline{X_1 X_2} \cdot \overline{\xi_1 X_2 X_3} - \beta\, X_1 X_2 X_3 \cdot \overline{\xi_1 X_2} \\
   &= -(\alpha+\beta)\, \overline{\xi_1 X_1 X_2^2 X_3} - \alpha\, \overline{\xi_1 X_2^2 X_3^2}\,.
   \end{aligned}$$

   Here any replacement using $X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = 0$ gives rise to a power $\geq 3$. On the other hand, using $\overline{X}_1 = 0 = \overline{\xi}_1$,

   $$\begin{aligned}
   \det \Xi_{3,0} \det V_3 &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \\ X_1 & X_2 & X_3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_1 & X_1^2 \\ 1 & X_2 & X_2^2 \\ 1 & X_3 & X_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
   &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{\xi_1 X_1} & \overline{\xi_1 X_1^2} \\ 0 & \overline{X_1^2} & \overline{X_1^3} \\ 3 & 0 & \overline{X_1^2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad (41) \\
   &= 3\left(\overline{X_1^3} \cdot \overline{\xi_1 X_1} - \overline{X_1^2} \cdot \overline{\xi_1 X_1^2}\right) \\
   &= 3\left(\overline{\xi_1 X_1 X_2^3} - \overline{\xi_1 X_1^2 X_2^2}\right) \\
   &= -3\left(\overline{\xi_1 X_1 X_2^2 (X_1 + X_3)} + \overline{\xi_1 X_1^2 X_2^2}\right) \\
   &= -3\left(2\,\overline{\xi_1 X_1^2 X_2^2} + \overline{\xi_1 X_1 X_2^2 X_3}\right)
   \end{aligned}$$

   Again, any replacement using $X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = 0$ gives rise to a power $\geq 3$. Thus we can conclude by comparison that

   $$\alpha + \beta = 3\,.$$

   Moreover, we must have

   $$\begin{aligned}
   \alpha\, \overline{\xi_1 X_2^2 X_3^2} - 6 \overline{\xi_1 X_1^2 X_2^2} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad & (6\xi_1 + 6\xi_2 - \alpha\xi_3) X_1^2 X_2^2 \\
   & + (6\xi_1 - \alpha\xi_2 + 6\xi_3) X_1^2 X_3^2 \\
   & + (-\alpha\xi_1 + 6\xi_2 + 6\xi_3) X_2^2 X_3^2 = 0\,.
   \end{aligned}$$

   Since no root is zero, we conclude from $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 = 0$ that $\alpha = -6$, and $\beta = 9$.

   The second conclusion could have been obtained more easily by setting $X_i = \xi_i$ in eq. (41). This is possible since $X$ and $\xi$ satisfy the same condition (35), and it yields

   $$0 = \det = (3\alpha + 2\beta)ab = (\alpha + 6)ab \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha = -6\,, \quad \beta = 9\,,$$

   using $da = 2a$, $db = 3b$, and $\alpha + \beta = 3$.

2. To show (39), we observe that for $\xi_i = X_i$,

$$\det \Xi_{3,1}|_{\xi=X} = \det \begin{pmatrix} X_1^2 & X_2^2 & X_3^2 \\ X_1 & X_2 & X_3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$\det \Xi_{3,1}|_{\xi=X} \det V_3 = -\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_1 & X_1^2 \\ 1 & X_2 & X_2^2 \\ 1 & X_3 & X_3^2 \end{pmatrix}^2 = -(\det V_3)^2 = -\Delta^{(0)}$$

where the r.h.s. is given by (40). On the other hand, $da = \overline{\xi_1 X_2} = 2\overline{X_1 X_2} = 2a$ and $db = -\overline{\xi_1 X_2 X_3} = -3\overline{X_1 X_2 X_3} = 3b$. So let $\gamma, \eta \in \mathbb{Q}$. We have

$$-\Delta^{(0)} = 4a^3 + 27b^2 = 2\gamma\, a^3 + 3\eta\, b^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma = 2\,, \eta = 9\,.$$

□

In view of a generalisation to higher genus (greater $n = \deg p$), we shall provide a different proof of the Theorem.

*Proof.* If we set

$$\xi_i = X_i^2 - \xi_0\,, \quad \xi_0 := \frac{1}{3}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} X_i^2\right) = \frac{1}{3}\overline{X_1^2}$$

then the condition (35) continues to hold, and we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} X_1^2 - \xi_0 & X_2^2 - \xi_0 & X_3^2 - \xi_0 \\ X_1 & X_2 & X_3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} X_1^2 & X_2^2 & X_3^2 \\ X_1 & X_2 & X_3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \det \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 & \xi_0 & \xi_0 \\ X_1 & X_2 & X_3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

the latter determinant being zero. Thus for the present choice of $\xi$,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\xi_1} & \overline{\xi_1 X_1} & \overline{\xi_1 X_1^2} \\ \overline{X_1} & \overline{X_1^2} & \overline{X_1^3} \\ 3 & \overline{X_1} & \overline{X_1^2} \end{pmatrix} = -\Delta^{(0)}$$

where $\Delta^{(0)}$ is the dicriminant (40).

On the other hand, by the fact that $\overline{X_1} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_0 &= \frac{1}{3}\,\overline{X_1^2} = -\frac{2}{3}\,\overline{X_1 X_2} = -\frac{2a}{3}\,, \\ \overline{X_1^3} &= -3\overline{X_1^2 X_2} - 6b \\ \overline{X_1^2 X_2} &= \overline{X_1 X_2 (X_1 + X_2)} = -3b \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} da &= -\overline{\xi_1 X_1} = -\overline{X_1^3} + \xi_0 \overline{X_1} = -\overline{X_1^3} = -3b\,, \\ db &= -\overline{\xi_1 X_2 X_3} = -\overline{X_1^2 X_2 X_3} + \xi_0 \overline{X_1 X_2} = b\overline{X_1} + \xi_0 a = \xi_0 a = -\frac{2}{3}\,a^2 \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\Delta^{(0)} = -4a^3 - 27b^2 = \alpha\, a\, db + \beta\, b\, da = -\frac{2}{3}\alpha\, a^3 - 3\beta\, b^2$$

thus $\alpha = 6$ and $\beta = 9$. The statement on the other determinant is proved as above. □

The formulation in terms of determinants generalises easily to higher genus (cf. Appendix A).

## 4.4 Differential equation for $\langle T(x)\rangle$ for $g = 1$

Let $X_1$ be the genus 1 Riemann surface

$$X_1 : \quad y^2 = p(x)\,, \quad \deg p = 3.$$

The connected Virasoro one-point function on $X_1$ reads ([4], Theorem 2)

$$\langle T(x_1)T(x_2)\rangle_c\; p_1 p_2 = \langle \mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1} R(x_1,x_2) + O(1)\,|_{x_1=x_2}\,,$$

where $R(x_1,x_2)$ is a rational function of $x_1, x_2$ and $y_1, y_2$, and $O(1)|_{x_1=x_2}$ denotes terms that are regular on the diagonal $x_1 = x_2$. The rational function is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
R(x_1,x_2) = {} & \frac{c}{4}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\frac{p_1p_2}{(x_1-x_2)^4} \\
& + \frac{c}{4}y_1y_2\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\left(\frac{p^{[1]}(\sqrt{x_1x_2})}{(x_1-x_2)^4} + \frac{1}{2}(x_1+x_2)\frac{p^{[x]}(\sqrt{x_1x_2})}{(x_1-x_2)^4}\right) \\
& + \frac{c}{32}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\frac{p_1'p_2'}{(x_1-x_2)^2} \\
& + \frac{c}{32}y_1y_2\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\left(\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1x_2}}\;p^{[1]\prime}(\sqrt{x_1x_2})}{(x_1-x_2)^2} + \frac{3}{2}(x_1+x_2)\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1x_2}}\;p^{[x]\prime}(\sqrt{x_1x_2})}{(x_1-x_2)^2}\right) \\
& + \frac{1}{8}\frac{p_1\Theta_2 + p_2\Theta_1}{(x_1-x_2)^2} \\
& + \frac{1}{8}\left(y_1\Theta_2^{[y]} + y_2\Theta_1^{[y]}\right)\left(\frac{p^{[1]}(\sqrt{x_1x_2})}{(x_1-x_2)^2} + \frac{1}{2}(x_1+x_2)\frac{p^{[x]}(\sqrt{x_1x_2})}{(x_1-x_2)^2}\right) \\
& + \frac{c}{32}y_1y_2\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\left(\frac{p^{[1]\prime\prime}(\sqrt{x_1x_2})}{(x_1-x_2)^2} + \frac{1}{2}(x_1+x_2)\frac{p^{[x]\prime\prime}(\sqrt{x_1x_2})}{(x_1-x_2)^2}\right) \\
& - \frac{c}{32}y_1y_2\left(\frac{\Pi^{[1]}(\sqrt{x_1x_2})}{(x_1-x_2)^2} + \frac{1}{2}(x_1+x_2)\frac{\Pi^{[x]}(\sqrt{x_1x_2})}{(x_1-x_2)^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here for $j = 1, 2$, we have used the notation $p_j = p(x_j)$. For $n = 3$, the polynomial $\Theta^{[y]}$ is absent, whence we shall write $\Theta(x)$ in place of $\Theta^{[1]}(x)$,

$$\Theta(x) = -ca_0x\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle + \mathbf{A}_1\,,$$

where $a_0$ is the leading coefficient of $p$, and $\mathbf{A}_1 \propto \langle\mathbf{1}\rangle$ is constant ([4], Theorem 1). The $O(1)$ term is given by

$$-\frac{1}{8}a_0\,(x_1\Theta_2 + x_2\Theta_1) - \frac{c}{8}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle a_0^2 x_1x_2 + C^{[1]}$$

where $a_0$ is the leading coefficient of $p$, and $C^{[1]} \propto \langle\mathbf{1}\rangle$ is a constant.

**Lemma 9.** *Let*

$$p = 4(x - X_1)(x - X_2)(x - X_3).$$

*For $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$, we define a deformation of the Riemann surface by*

$$X_j \mapsto X_j + \xi_j\,, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

*Assuming (35), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle T(x_2)\rangle = {} & \frac{c}{16}\langle \boldsymbol{1}\rangle \frac{p'}{p}\Big(\frac{\xi_1}{(x - X_1)^2} + \mathit{cyclic}\Big) \\ & - \frac{1}{16}\Big(\frac{\xi_1(\mathbf{A}_1 - 4c\langle \boldsymbol{1}\rangle X_1)}{(x - X_1)^2}\,\frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)(X_3 - X_1)} + \mathit{cyclic}\Big) \\ & - \frac{C^{[1]}}{2p}\langle \boldsymbol{1}\rangle\Big(\frac{\xi_1}{(X_1 - X_2)(X_3 - X_1)} + \mathit{cyclic}\Big) \\ & + \frac{cx}{p}\langle \boldsymbol{1}\rangle\left(\frac{\xi_1 X_1}{(X_1 - X_2)(X_3 - X_1)} + \mathit{cyclic}\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4p}\left(\frac{\xi_1 \mathbf{A}_1(x + X_1)}{(X_1 - X_2)(X_3 - X_1)} + \mathit{cyclic}\right) \\ & + \langle \boldsymbol{1}\rangle^{-1}\langle T(x)\rangle d\langle \boldsymbol{1}\rangle\,. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

*Proof.* For $j = 1, 2, 3$, let $\gamma_j$ be a closed path enclosing $X_j$ no other zero of $p$.

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle T(x_2)\rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{2\times\gamma_j}\langle T(x)T(x_2)\rangle\,dx\right)dX_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle}{2\pi i}\oint_{2\times\gamma_j}\langle T(x)T(x_2)\rangle_c\,dx\right)dX_j \\ &\qquad + \langle \mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1}\langle T(x_2)\rangle\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{2\times\gamma_j}\langle T(x)\rangle\,dx\right)dX_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle}{2\pi i}\oint_{2\times\gamma_j}\langle T(x)T(x_2)\rangle_c\,dx\right)dX_j + \langle \mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1}\langle T(x_2)\rangle\,d\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\langle T(x_2)\rangle$ is given by formula (32), and $d\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle$ has been computed in 6. The terms $\propto y_1y_2$ do not contribute: The path $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ is obtained by projecting down a closed path $\tilde{\gamma}$ on $X$. Thus we have to run around $\gamma$ twice, with the square root $y$ having opposite sign on the two tours.

Let $\gamma_j$ be a closed curve on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ containing $X_j$. When we vary all three ramifications points, this means that $x_2$ must be enclosed by three curves containing $X_1$, $X_2$ and $X_3$, respectively.

Thus for $j = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{2\times\gamma_1} \langle T(x)T(x_2)\rangle_c \, dx \\
&= 2\lim_{x\to X_1}(x-X_1)\Big\{\frac{c}{4}\frac{1}{(x-x_2)^4} + \frac{c}{32}\frac{p'p_2'}{(x-x_2)^2pp_2} + \frac{1}{8}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1}\frac{p\Theta_2+p_2\Theta}{(x-x_2)^2pp_2} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{C^{[1]}}{pp_2} - \frac{a_0}{8}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1}\frac{x\Theta_2+x_2\Theta}{pp_2} - \frac{a_0^2c}{8}\frac{xx_2}{pp_2}\Big\} \\
&= \frac{c}{16}\frac{1}{(X_1-x_2)^2}\,\frac{p_2'}{p_2} + \frac{1}{4}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1}\frac{\Theta(X_1)}{(X_1-x_2)^2p'(X_1)} \\
&\quad + \frac{2C^{[1]}}{p'(X_1)p_2} - \frac{a_0}{4}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1}\frac{X_1\Theta_2}{p'(X_1)p_2} - \frac{a_0}{4}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1}\frac{x_2\Theta(X_1)}{p'(X_1)p_2} - \frac{a_0^2c}{4}\frac{X_1x_2}{p'(X_1)p_2} \\
&= \frac{c}{16}\frac{1}{(x_2-X_1)^2}\,\frac{p_2'}{p_2} + \frac{1}{4}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1}\frac{A_0X_1+\mathbf{A}_1}{a_0(x_2-X_1)^2(X_1-X_2)(X_1-X_3)} \\
&\quad + \frac{2C^{[1]}}{a_0p_2(X_1-X_2)(X_1-X_3)} - \frac{a_0c}{4}\frac{X_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_1-X_3)}\frac{x_2}{p_2} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1}\frac{X_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_1-X_3)}\frac{\Theta_2}{p_2} - \frac{1}{4}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1}\frac{A_0X_1+\mathbf{A}_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_1-X_3)}\frac{x_2}{p_2}\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging in $A_0 = -a_0c\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle$ and $A_0 = 4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
d\langle T(x)\rangle &= \frac{c}{16}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\frac{p'}{p}\left(\frac{\xi_1}{(x-X_1)^2} + \text{cyclic}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{\xi_1(-4c\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle X_1+\mathbf{A}_1)}{(x-X_1)^2}\frac{1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{C^{[1]}}{2p}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\left(\frac{\xi_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{cx}{p}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\left(\frac{\xi_1X_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{-4c\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle x+\mathbf{A}_1}{4p}\left(\frac{\xi_1X_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{x}{4p}\left(\frac{\xi_1(-4c\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle X_1+\mathbf{A}_1)}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic}\right) \\
&\quad + \langle\mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1}\langle T(x)\rangle\, d\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle\ . \qquad (43)
\end{aligned}$$

□

On the other hand, we can straightforwardly differentiate $\langle T(x)\rangle$, given by (32).

**Lemma 10.**

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\langle T(x)\rangle = C_1\langle T(x)\rangle + C_2(x)\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle \qquad (44)$$

*where*

$$C_1 = \langle\mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1}\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\log\langle\mathbf{1}\rangle$$

$$C_2(x) = \frac{c}{16}\frac{p'}{p}\left(\frac{\xi_1}{(x-X_1)^2} + \mathit{cyclic}\right) - \frac{c(x-b)}{p}\left(\frac{\xi_1}{x-X_1} + \mathit{cyclic}\right) + \frac{c\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}b}{p}$$

*Here we introduce*

$$bc := \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{1} \rangle^{-1} \mathbf{A}_1}{4}\ .$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\frac{1}{4}\Theta(x) = -c\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle (x-b).$$

Now

$$d\langle T(x)\rangle = bc\, d\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle + \langle \mathbf{1}\rangle \left( \frac{c}{32} d\left( \frac{[p']^2}{p^2} \right) - cd\left( \frac{x-b}{p} \right) \right)$$

Since $d = \sum_{j=1}^{3} dX j\, \frac{\partial}{\partial X_j}$ and $\frac{p'}{p} = \frac{1}{x-X_1}$ + cyclic, we have

$$\frac{c}{32} d\left( \frac{[p']^2}{p^2} \right) = \frac{c}{16} \frac{p'}{p} \left( \frac{\xi_1}{(x-X_1)^2} + \text{cyclic} \right) \tag{45}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d\left( \frac{x-b}{p} \right) = (x-b)\, d\frac{1}{p} - \frac{db}{p} &= -(x-b)\frac{dp}{p^2} - \frac{db}{p} \\ &= (x-b)\left( \frac{\xi_1}{x-X_1} + \text{cyclic} \right) - \frac{db}{p} \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

This yields $C_2(x)$ as claimed. □

The expressions for $d\langle T(x)\rangle$ given by eq. (44) and eq. (43) must be equal. $\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle^{-1}\langle T(x_2)\rangle d\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle$ and expression (45) are manifestly present in both formulas. Moreover, we have

**Lemma 11.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{c}{4}\Big( \frac{\xi_1(X_1-b)}{(x-X_1)^2} \frac{1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \mathit{cyclic} \Big) \qquad (47) \\ &- \frac{C^{[1]}}{2p}\Big( \frac{\xi_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \mathit{cyclic} \Big) \\ &+ \frac{cb}{p}\left( \frac{\xi_1 X_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \mathit{cyclic} \right) \\ &- \frac{cx}{p}\left( \frac{\xi_1(X_1-b)}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \mathit{cyclic} \right) = -\frac{c}{p}\left( \frac{\xi_1(x-b)}{x-X_1} + \mathit{cyclic} \right) + \frac{cdb}{p} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Direct computation. □

This yields the following

*Proof.* Using partial fraction decomposition,

$$\frac{x-b}{p} = \frac{x-b}{4(x-X_1)(x-X_2)(x-X_3)} = \frac{1}{(x-X_1)} \frac{X_1-b}{4(X_1-X_2)(X_1-X_3)} + \text{cyclic}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{c\xi_1(x-b)}{p(x-X_1)} = &\frac{c}{4}\left( \frac{\xi_1(X_1-b)}{(x-X_1)^2(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic} \right) \\ &+ \frac{c}{4}\left( \frac{\xi_2(X_1-b)}{(x-X_1)(x-X_2)(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic} \right) \\ &+ \frac{c}{4}\left( \frac{\xi_3(X_1-b)}{(x-X_1)(x-X_3)(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The term on the r.h.s. of equation (48) cancels against the term in (47). Multiply both sides of the claimed equality by $p/c$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{C^{[1]}}{2c}\Big(\frac{\xi_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\Big)\\
&+b\left(\frac{\xi_1 X_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\right)\\
&-x\left(\frac{\xi_1(X_1-b)}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\right)=\left(\frac{\xi_1(X_3-b)(x-X_2)}{(X_2-X_3)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad+\left(\frac{\xi_1(X_2-b)(x-X_3)}{(X_1-X_2)(X_2-X_3)}+\text{cyclic}\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad+db
\end{aligned}$$

Solving for $db$:

$$\begin{aligned}
db=&-\frac{C^{[1]}}{2c}\Big(\frac{\xi_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\Big)\\
&+b\left(\frac{\xi_1 X_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\right)\\
&-x\left(\frac{\xi_1(X_1-b)}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\right) && (49)\\
&+\left(\frac{\xi_1(X_2-b)X_3}{(X_1-X_2)(X_2-X_3)}+\frac{\xi_1(X_3-b)X_2}{(X_2-X_3)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\right)\\
&+x\left(-\frac{\xi_1(X_2-b)}{(X_1-X_2)(X_2-X_3)}-\frac{\xi_1(X_3-b)}{(X_2-X_3)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\right) && (50)
\end{aligned}$$

Here the terms proportional to $x$ drop out: (50) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{\xi_1(X_2-b)}{(X_1-X_2)(X_2-X_3)}-\frac{\xi_1(X_3-b)}{(X_2-X_3)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\\
&=-\xi_1\frac{(X_2-b)(X_3-X_1)+(X_3-b)(X_1-X_2)}{(X_1-X_2)(X_2-X_3)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\\
&=\xi_1\frac{X_1-b}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}
\end{aligned}$$

which is expression (49) with the opposite sign. Reordering,

$$\begin{aligned}
db=&\,b\left(\frac{\xi_1(X_2^2-X_3^2)}{(X_1-X_2)(X_2-X_3)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\right)\\
&+\left(\frac{\xi_1 X_2 X_3}{(X_1-X_2)(X_2-X_3)}+\frac{\xi_1 X_3 X_2}{(X_2-X_3)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\right)\\
&-\frac{C^{[1]}}{2c}\Big(\frac{\xi_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)}+\text{cyclic}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

But $\overline{X}_1 = 0$, so

$$\begin{aligned} db = & -b\left(\frac{\xi_1 X_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic}\right) \\ & + \left(\frac{\xi_1 X_2 X_3}{(X_1-X_2)(X_2-X_3)} + \frac{\xi_1 X_3 X_2}{(X_2-X_3)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic}\right) \\ & - \frac{C^{[1]}}{2c}\Big(\frac{\xi_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(d + \left(\frac{\xi_1 X_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic}\right)\right) b = & \left(\frac{\xi_1 X_2 X_3}{(X_1-X_2)(X_2-X_3)} + \frac{\xi_1 X_3 X_2}{(X_2-X_3)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic}\right) \\ & - \frac{C^{[1]}}{2c}\Big(\frac{\xi_1}{(X_1-X_2)(X_3-X_1)} + \text{cyclic}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

□

**Example 2.** *In the* $(2,5)$ *minimal model for* $n = 3$*, with*

$$p(x) = 4(x^3 - 30G_4 x - 70G_6)\,,$$

*we have*

$$C^{[1]} = -51c\, G_4\langle \boldsymbol{1}\rangle - \langle \boldsymbol{1}\rangle (bc)^2$$

*where* $c = -\frac{22}{5}$.

# A Outlook: Higher genus differential equations

When $\deg p = n$, we have [4]

$$\Theta(x,y) = \Theta^{[1]}(x) + y\Theta^{[y]}(x)$$

with $\deg \Theta^{[1]}(x) = n-2$. $\Theta^{[y]}$ does not contribute as it gives rise to poles of half integer order, which drop out when the contour integral is taken. We will consider the case $n = 5$ ($g = 2$). Here $\Theta^{[y]}$ is absent and

$$\langle T(x)\rangle = \frac{c}{32}\frac{[p']^2}{p^2}\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle + \frac{1}{4}\,\frac{(A_0x^3 + A_1x^2 + A_3x + A_4)|_\xi}{p}\,.$$

In order to establish the ODE governing the change of $\langle \mathbf{1}\rangle$ under a shift of the ramification points, we need a couple of auxiliary statements.

**Claim 3.** *For $n = 5$, we have*

$$\prod_{j\neq s}(X_s - X_j)\prod_{\ell\neq k;k\neq s}(X_k - X_\ell) = (-1)^{\binom{5}{2}}\Delta^{(0)}\,.$$

*where $\Delta^{(0)}$ is the dicriminant.*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{j\neq s}(X_s - X_j)\prod_{\ell\neq k;k\neq s}(X_k - X_\ell) &= \prod_{\ell\neq k}(X_k - X_\ell)\\ &= (-1)^{\binom{5}{2}}\prod_{\ell>k}(X_k - X_\ell)^2\\ &= (-1)^{\binom{5}{2}}\Delta^{(0)}\,.\end{aligned}$$

□

For $k = 0,\ldots,3$, let

$$\Xi_{5,k} := \begin{pmatrix} X_1^3 & X_2^3 & X_3^3 & X_4^3 & X_5^3\\ X_1^2 & X_2^2 & X_3^2 & X_4^2 & X_5^2\\ X_1 & X_2 & X_3^3 & X_4^3 & X_5\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\\ \xi_1X_1^k & \xi_2X_2^k & \xi_3X_3^k & \xi_4X_4^k & \xi_5X_5^k \end{pmatrix}$$

**Claim 4.** *For $k = 0,\ldots,3$, we have*

$$\sum_{s=1}^{5}\xi_sX_s^k\prod_{\ell\neq k;k\neq s}(X_k - X_\ell) = \det V_5\det\Xi_{5,k}\,,$$

*where $\det V_5$ is the $5\times 5$ Vandermonde determinant.*

*Proof.* We first show that

$$\det\Xi_{5,k} = \sum_{s=1}^{5}(-1)^{\sharp\{k|k<s\}}\xi_sX_s^k\prod_{k<\ell;k,\ell\neq s}(X_k - X_\ell) \tag{52}$$

We shall do that by proving that

$$\det M_s = \prod_{k<\ell;k,\ell\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell)\,, \tag{53}$$

where

$$M_s := \begin{pmatrix} X_1^3 & X_2^3 & \dots\widehat{X_s^3} & \dots & X_5^3 \\ X_1^2 & X_2^2 & \dots\widehat{X_s^2} & \dots & X_5^2 \\ X_1 & X_2 & \dots\widehat{X_s} & \dots & X_5 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots\widehat{1} & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We permute the rows of $M_s$ so that the order of the occurring powers increases with the row number. This permutation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = (0\,3)(1\,2)$$

has sign $(-1)^2 = 1$. Then we transpose the matrix so as to obtain a Vandermonde matrix for the index set $\{1, 2, \dots, \widehat{s}, \dots, 5\}$. This yields

$$\det M_s = (-1)^2 \prod_{k>\ell;k,\ell\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) = (-1)^2(-1)^{\binom{5-1}{2}} \prod_{k<\ell;k,\ell\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell)$$

So the determinant is given by (53), and (52) follows. To conclude, it suffices to show that for any $s \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$,

$$\prod_{\ell\neq k;k\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) = \det V_5 (-1)^{\sharp\{k|k<s\}} \det M_s\,.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\ell\neq k;k\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) &= \prod_{\ell<k;k\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) \prod_{k<\ell;k\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) \\ &= \prod_{\ell<k}(X_k - X_\ell) \prod_{\ell<s}(X_s - X_\ell)^{-1} \prod_{k<\ell;k\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) \\ &= \det V_5 \prod_{\ell<s}(X_s - X_\ell)^{-1} \prod_{k<\ell;k\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) \\ &= \det V_5\, (-1)^{\sharp\{k|k<s\}} \prod_{k<s}(X_k - X_s)^{-1} \prod_{k<\ell;k\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) \\ &= \det V_5\, (-1)^{\sharp\{k|k<s\}} \prod_{k<\ell;k,\ell\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell)\,. \end{aligned}$$

which is the required identity. □

For any nonempty subset $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, 5\}$, we define the restricted elementary symmetric functions

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0^{5\setminus S}(X) &= 1 \\ \sigma_1^{5\setminus S}(X) &= \sum_{j\notin S} X_j \\ \sigma_2^{5\setminus S}(X) &= \sum_{j<k;\,j,k\notin S} X_j X_k \\ \sigma_3^{5\setminus S}(X) &= \sum_{i<j<k;i,j,k\notin S} X_i X_j X_k\,, \end{aligned}$$

etc. When the argument is omitted, $\sigma_k^{5\setminus S}$, we mean $\sigma_k^{5\setminus S}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_5)$. For $k = 0, \dots, 3$, let

$$\Sigma_{5,k} := \begin{pmatrix} X_1^3 & X_2^3 & X_3^3 & X_4^3 & X_5^3 \\ X_1^2 & X_2^2 & X_3^2 & X_4^2 & X_5^2 \\ X_1 & X_2 & X_3^3 & X_4^3 & X_5 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \sum_{i\neq 1} \sigma_{3-k}^{5\setminus\{i,1\}} \xi_1 X_1^k & \sum_{i\neq 2} \sigma_{3-k}^{5\setminus\{i,2\}} \xi_2 X_2^k & \sum_{i\neq 3} \sigma_{3-k}^{5\setminus\{i,3\}} \xi_3 X_3^k & \sum_{i\neq 4} \sigma_{3-k}^{5\setminus\{i,4\}} \xi_4 X_4^k & \sum_{i\neq s} \sigma_{3-k}^{5\setminus\{i,5\}} \xi_5 X_5^k \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i\neq s} \sigma_0^{5\setminus\{i,s\}}(X) = 4 \\ &\sum_{i\neq s} \sigma_1^{5\setminus\{i,s\}}(X) = \binom{4}{3} \sigma_1^{5\setminus\{s\}}(X) = \binom{4}{1} \sigma_1^{5\setminus\{s\}}(X) = 3\sigma_1^{5\setminus\{s\}}(X)\,, \\ &\sum_{i\neq s} \sigma_2^{5\setminus\{i,s\}}(X) = 2\sigma_2^{5\setminus\{s\}}(X) \\ &\sum_{i\neq s} \sigma_3^{5\setminus\{i,s\}}(X) = \binom{4}{3} \sigma_3^{5\setminus\{s\}}(X) \end{aligned}$$

and in particular $\Sigma_{5,3} = 4\Xi_{5,3}$.

**Claim 5.**

$$\sum_{s=1}^{5} \xi_s \prod_{\ell\neq k; k\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) \sum_{i\neq s} \prod_{j\neq s,i} (X_s - X_j) = \det V_5 \Big( \det \Sigma_{5,3} - \det \Sigma_{5,2} + \det \Sigma_{5,1} - \det \Sigma_{5,0} \Big)$$

*Proof.* Using the restricted elementary symmetric functions, we have, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$,

$$\prod_{j\neq s,i} (X_s - X_j) = X_s^3 - \sigma_1^{5\setminus\{i,s\}} X_s^2 + \sigma_2^{5\setminus\{i,s\}} X_s - \sigma_3^{5\setminus\{i,s\}}\,,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^{5} \xi_s \prod_{\ell\neq k; k\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) \sum_{i\neq s} \prod_{j\neq s,i} (X_s - X_j) = & \sum_{s=1}^{5} \sum_{i\neq s} \xi_s X_s^3 \prod_{\ell\neq k; k\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) \\ & - \sum_{s=1}^{5} \Big( \sum_{i\neq s} \sigma_1^{5\setminus\{i,s\}} \Big) \xi_s X_s^2 \prod_{\ell\neq k; k\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) \\ & + \sum_{s=1}^{5} \Big( \sum_{i\neq s} \sigma_2^{5\setminus\{i,s\}} \Big) \xi_s X_s \prod_{\ell\neq k; k\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) \\ & - \sum_{s=1}^{5} \Big( \sum_{i\neq s} \sigma_3^{5\setminus\{i,s\}} \Big) \xi_s \prod_{\ell\neq k; k\neq s} (X_k - X_\ell) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \det V_5 \Big( 4 \det \Xi_{5,3} - \det \Sigma_{5,2} + \det \Sigma_{5,1} - \det \Sigma_{5,0} \Big)\,.$$

□

Altogether we obtain the

**Theorem 2.** *Let* $n = 5$ $(g = 2)$, *and* $p = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^{5}(x - X_i)$. *For* $\xi = (\xi_i)_{i=1}^{5} \in \mathbb{C}^5$, *we consider the deformation*

$$X_i \mapsto X_i + \xi_i \,, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \,.$$

*We have*

$$\begin{aligned} d\,\langle \boldsymbol{1} \rangle = {} & \frac{c}{8}\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{1} \rangle_{\xi=0} \det V_5 \Big( \det \Sigma_{5,3} - \det \Sigma_{5,2} + \det \Sigma_{5,1} - \det \Sigma_{5,0} \Big)}{\Delta^{(0)}} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\frac{A_0 \det V_5 \det \Xi_{5,3}}{a_0 \Delta^{(0)}} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{A_1 \det V_5 \det \Xi_{5,2}}{a_0 \Delta^{(0)}} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{A_2 \det V_5 \det \Xi_{5,1}}{a_0 \Delta^{(0)}} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{A_3 \det V_5 \det \Xi_{5,0}}{a_0 \Delta^{(0)}} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} d\,\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle &= \sum_{s=1}^{5} \left( \oint_{2\times\gamma_s} \langle T(x) \rangle \, dx \right) dX_s \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{5} \left( \frac{c}{8} \sum_{i \neq s} \frac{\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle}{(X_s - X_i)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(A_0 X_s^3 + A_1 X_s^2 + A_2 X_s + A_3)|_{\xi=0}}{a_0 \prod_{i \neq s}(X_s - X_i)} \right) dX_s \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{5} \left( \frac{c}{8} \sum_{i \neq s} \frac{\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle_{\xi=0} \prod_{j \neq s,i}(X_s - X_j)}{\prod_{j \neq s}(X_s - X_j)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(A_0 X_s^3 + A_1 X_s^2 + A_2 X_s + A_3)}{a_0 \prod_{j \neq s}(X_s - X_j)} \right) dX_s \end{aligned}$$

When we expand the fractions we will obtain $2\binom{5}{2}$ factors in the denominator. Indeed, every factor $(X_i - X_j)$ in this product occurs twice but with $X_i$ and $X_j$ exchanged in order. We expand the $s$'th summand by $\prod_{\ell \neq k; k \neq s}(X_k - X_\ell)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} d\,\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle = {} & \frac{c}{8} \frac{\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle_{\xi=0} \sum_{s=1}^{5} \xi_s \prod_{\ell \neq k; k \neq s}(X_k - X_\ell) \sum_{i \neq s} \prod_{j \neq s,i}(X_s - X_j)}{(-1)^{\binom{5}{2}} \Delta^{(0)}} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_0 \sum_{s=1}^{5} \xi_s X_s^3 \prod_{\ell \neq k; k \neq s}(X_k - X_\ell)}{a_0 (-1)^{\binom{5}{2}} \Delta^{(0)}} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_1 \sum_{s=1}^{5} \xi_s X_s^2 \prod_{\ell \neq k; k \neq s}(X_k - X_\ell)}{a_0 (-1)^{\binom{5}{2}} \Delta^{(0)}} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_2 \sum_{s=1}^{5} \xi_s X_s \prod_{\ell \neq k; k \neq s}(X_k - X_\ell)}{a_0 (-1)^{\binom{5}{2}} \Delta^{(0)}} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_3 \sum_{s=1}^{5} \xi_s \prod_{\ell \neq k; k \neq s}(X_k - X_\ell)}{a_0 (-1)^{\binom{5}{2}} \Delta^{(0)}} \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows from the above identities. □

# B Proof of the auxiliary Lemma 8

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show eq. (36), which is done by induction on $n_1 + n_2 + n_3$. We may assume $n_i = 0$ for one $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ since otherwise the monomial is divisible by $X_1 X_2 X_3$.

1. If $n_1 = 0$ (where $n_1$ is the index of the $\xi$ component) then we have

   $$\xi_1 X_2^{n_2} X_3^{n_3} = (\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3)\, X_2^{n_2} X_3^{n_3} - \xi_2 X_2^{n_2} X_3^{n_3} - \xi_3 X_2^{n_2} X_3^{n_3}$$

   Thus

   $$\begin{aligned} \overline{\xi_1 X_2^{n_2} X_3^{n_3}} &= (\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3)\, \overline{X_2^{n_2} X_3^{n_3}} - \overline{\xi_2 X_2^{n_2} X_3^{n_3}} - \overline{\xi_3 X_2^{n_2} X_3^{n_3}} \\ &= (\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3) p_0^{\text{cyclic}}(X_1, X_2, X_3) - 2\, \overline{\xi_1 X_1^{n_2} X_3^{n_3}}. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

   The second term on the r.h.s. of eq. (54) is discussed in 2, by renaming $n_1$ as $n_2$.

2. If $n_2 = 0$ (where $n_2$ is an index that differs from that of the $\xi$ component) then

   $$\begin{aligned} 2\, \overline{\xi_1 X_1^{n_1} X_3^{n_3}} &= \overline{(\xi_1 X_1^{n_1} + \xi_2 X_2^{n_1}) X_3^{n_3}} \\ &= (\xi_1 X_1^{n_1} + \xi_2 X_2^{n_1} + \xi_3 X_3^{n_1}) \overline{X_3^{n_3}} - \overline{\xi_3 X_3^{n_1+n_3}} \\ &= (\xi_1 X_1^{n_1} + \xi_2 X_2^{n_1} + \xi_3 X_3^{n_1}) p_{n_1}^{\text{cyclic}}(X_1, X_2, X_3) - \overline{\xi_3 X_3^{n_1+n_3}} \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\xi_1 X_2^{n_2} X_3^{n_3}} &= p_0^{\text{cyclic}} \overline{\xi_1} + p_{n_2}^{\text{cyclic}} \overline{\xi_1 X_1^{n_2}} + p_{n_2+n_3}^{\text{cyclic}} \overline{\xi_3 X_3^{n_2+n_3}} \\ \overline{\xi_1 X_1^{n_1} X_3^{n_3}} &= p_{n_1}^{\text{cyclic}} \overline{\xi_1 X_1^{n_1}} + p_{n_2+n_3}^{\text{cyclic}} \overline{\xi_3 X_3^{n_1+n_3}} \end{aligned}$$

□

# References

[1] Di Francesco, P. and Senechal, D.: *Conformal field theory*, Springer Graduate Texts in Contemporay Physics (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997)

[2] Eguchi, T. and Ooguri, H.: *Conformal and current algebras on a general Riemann surface*, Nucl. Phys. **B**282 (1987), 308–328

[3] Kaneko, M., Nagatomo, K., and Sakai, Y.: *Modular forms and second order differential equations: Applications to Vertex operator algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys (2012), DOI 10.1007/s11005-012-0602-5

[4] Leitner, M.: *Virasoro correlation functions on hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces*, LMP (2013), DOI 10.1007/s11005-013-0608-7

[5] Mathur, S.D., Mukhi, S., and Sen, A.: *On the classification of rational conformal field theories*, Phys. Lett. **B**213 (1988), No. 3, 303–308,

[6] Zagier, D.: *Elliptic modular forms and their applications*, in The 1-2-3 of Modular Forms: Lectures at a Summer School in Nordfjordeid, Norway, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (2008), pp. 1–103,

[7] Zagier, D. and Kaneko, M.: *Supersingular j-invariants, hypergeometric series, and Atkin's orthogonal polynomials* in Proceedings of the Conference on Computational Aspects of Number Theory, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Math. 7, International Press, Cambridge (1997) 97–126